



ERSAT EAV

"ERTMS on SATELLITE Enabling Application & Validation"



ERSAT EAV Achievements & Roadmap

The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

Prof. Alessandro Neri

Roberto Capua Andrea Coluccia Fabio Frittella Maurizio Salvitti Giorgia Olivieri Veronica Palma Agostino Ruggeri

Pietro Salvatori Cosimo Stallo Alessia Vennarini

Ansaldo STS A Hitachi Group Company







ESSP

12/02/2016



GNSS-BASED SERVICES FOR TRAIN CONTROL

- GNSS based train location determination can be considered a disruptive technology.
- It will succeed in replacing the current technologies based on balises and track circuits if and only if it will be cost-effective.

THR ≤ 10⁻⁹/h

Functionality	Current EU Technology (ERTMS)	SIS Integrity Monitoring	Augmetation	Accuracy
Train LocationDeterminationSingle track	Based on Balise	X	X	Medium
Train LocationDeterminationMultiple tracks	Based on Balise, Track Circuit	X	X	Medium, High
Train Integrity	Track Circuit + On Board Circuitry	X		High



The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

GNSS BASED TRAIN LOCALIZATION



• The Train location is given by the intersection of the spheres centered on visible satellites and the railway track



The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

GNSS BASED TRAIN LOCALIZATION





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

GNSS BASED TRAIN LOCALIZATION



• The information carried by each satellite with respect to **the train mileage** depends on the relative geometry.

- WORST CASE:
- Satellite Line of Sight orthogonal to the track axis



The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

GNSS BASED TRAIN LOCALIZATION



• The information carried by each satellite with respect to **the train mileage** depends on the relative geometry.

Error Sources

Satellite ephemerides and clock errors

SIS distortions

Ionospheric incremental delay

Tropospheric incremental delay

Rx noise, multipath, RFI



IONOSPHERIC INCREMENTAL DELAY (GPS L1 – L2)

• It can determined by combining the pseudorange measured at two different frequencies

Usual conditions

Geomagnetic Storm (17/3/2015 14.00 UTC)





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

IONOSPHERIC INCREMENTAL DELAY (GPS L1 – L2)

• Ionospheric corrections included in the Navigation Message may be inadequate





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

GNSS BASED TRAIN LOCALIZATION





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

GNSS BASED TRAIN LOCALIZATION



Time [s]



The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

OVERLAY ARCHITECTURE

Selection of candidate solutions concerning both augmentation and integrity monitoring infrastructures, and On Board Units starts from the mitigation actions related to the hazards identified during the Hazard Analysis



Hazards	Mitigations		
Clock runoffs	SBAS & LADGNSS		
Ephemeris Faults	SBAS & LADGNSS		
Ionospheric storms	LADGNSS (multifrequency)		
Signal Distortions	SBAS & LADGNSS		
Constellation Rotations	SBAS & LADGNSS		
Multipath	Train Autonomous Integrity Monitoring		
Jamming, Spoofing	DBF + High Resilience DSP Train Autonomous Integrity Monitoring		



ERSAT EAV HIGH INTEGRITY AUGMENTATION ARCHITECTURE





ERSAT EAV HIGH INTEGRITY AUGMENTATION ARCHITECTURE





ERSAT EAV 2-tier Local Integrity Function

- Fault Detection and Exclusion
- single satellite faults
- constellation faults
- RIM faults





Multiple Reference Receivers Integrity Check

- For each RIM the Multiple Reference Receivers Integrity Check is performed based on statistics derived from the residual Double Differences with respect to raw data provided by the RIMs of the 1^{rst} tier.
- It is assumed to be negligible the probability that 1^{rst} tier RIM is faulty when declared as healthy.
- The procedure can be extended to autonomous local augmentation systems.
 - In this case statistics derived from the Double Differences computed among the 2nd tier RIMs only are employed.





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

Multiple Reference Receivers Integrity Check





Experimental Results (GPS L1 – L2)

Usual conditions

Geomagnetic Storm (17/3/2015 14.00 UTC)





Experimental Results (GPS L1 – L2)

Usual conditions

Geomagnetic Storm (17/3/2015 14.00 UTC)





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: THE VIRTUALIZED TESTBED

•Assessing the performance of a Safety of Life system is a rather challenging task due to the fact that very small probabilities are involved.

•Approach: virtualized testbed, with

rich sets of data collected in a real railway environment,

historical time series related to rare GNSS SIS fault events (satellite malfunctions and atmosphere anomalous behaviors)

simulated faults for the new-coming constellations





Integrity Check – Simulation Results

One faulty RIM with simulated fault corresponding to an increase of the measurement noise,

Median Filter on Long Baseline - window 15 s 5000 Faulty vs Healthy Healthy vs Faulty 4500 4000 3500 3000 2500 ع 2000 1500 1000 500 0 L 3.8 3.86 3.88 3.9 3.92 3.94 3.84 3.96 GPS time [s] x10⁵

One faulty satellite (clock fault)



12/02/2016



CONCLUSIONS

- Multi-constellation architectures offer higher degree of flexibility to reach the SIL-4 level (recommended for high demanding accuracy in the railways applications).
- Nevertheless, the availability of an augmentation network is of paramount importance in reducing the Protection Level.
- Sharing as much as possible of the supporting (i.e., augmentation) infrastructure and on board processing, including new developments such as Advanced Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring (ARAIM), with the avionics field and automotive applications is a key factor for cost effectiveness.
- Definition of a standard for the Railway High Integrity Navigation Overlay System is a key success factor for spreading the GNSS application into the rail.
- Definition of a strategic roadmap for the adoption of an international standard is of primary concern.



Thanks for your attention





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

References

- Neri, A., Capua, R., Salvatori, P., "High Integrity Two-tiers Augmentation Systems for Train Control Systems", ION Pacific PNT 2015, Honolulu, April 20-23 2015.
- A. Neri, F. Rispoli, P. Salvatori, and A.M. Vegni, "A Train Integrity Solution Based on GNSS Double-Difference Approach," in *Proc. of ION GNSS*+ 2014, September 8-12, 2014, Tampa, FL, USA.
- A. Neri, A.M. Vegni, and F. Rispoli, "A PVT Estimation for the ERTMS Train Control Systems in presence of Multiple Tracks," in *Proc. of ION GNSS 2013*, September 16-20, 2013, Nashville, TN, USA.
- A. Neri, V. Palma, F. Rispoli, and A.M. Vegni, "Track Constrained PVT Estimation based on the Double-Difference Technique for Railway Applications," in *Proc. of EUSIPCO 2013*, September 9-13, 2013, Marrakech, Morocco.
- A. Neri, A. Filip, F. Rispoli, and A.M. Vegni, "An Analytical Evaluation for Hazardous Failure Rate in a Satellite-based Train Positioning System with reference to the ERTMS Train Control Systems," in *Proc. of ION GNSS 2012*, September 17-21, 2012, Nashville, TN, USA.
- A. Neri, F. Rispoli, P. Salvatori, "The perspective of adopting the GNSS for the evolution of the european train control system (ERTMS): a roadmap for standardized and certifiable platform", ION GNSS+ 2015, Tampa, FL, U.S.A;
- A. Neri, S. Sabina, U. Mascia, "GNSS and odometry fusion for high integrity and high available train control systems", ION GNSS+ 2015, Tampa, FL, U.S.A;
- Neri, F. Rispoli, and P. Salvatori, "An analytical assessment of a GNSS based train integrity solution in typical ERTMS level 3 scenario", ENC 2015, Bordeaux, France;
- P. Salvatori, A. Neri, C. Stallo, and F. Rispoli, "Ionospheric Incremental Delay Models in Railway Applications", IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace, 2015, Benevento, Italy;



ROADMAP

- 1. Revision of **requirements** and **functionalities** expected worldwide in the short, medium and long term,
- 2. Investigation of **candidate solutions** (augmentation and integrity monitoring infrastructures, and On Board Units)
- **3.** Cost and Benefits trade-off and selection of the reference architecture among the candidate solutions.
- 4. Realization of a Trial Site
- 5. Verification of the reference architecture performance
- 6. Dissemination and consensus sharing.



Track Area Augmentation Network SIS Monitoring

• The Track Area Augmentation Network Control Center (TAAN-CC) for each satellite, monitors

• the Differential Pseudorange Residuals

 $\circ~$ the Double Difference Residuals

of the pseudoranges observed by the reference stations, located in known position, see [1], [2].

- DPR monitoring allows detecting ephemeris error components parallel to the satellite line of sights,
- DDR monitoring allows detecting those components orthogonal to the line of sights.

[1] S. Matsumoto, S. Pullen, M. Rotkowitz, and B. Pervan, "GPS Ephemeris Verification for Local Area Augmentation System (LAAS) Ground Stations", in Proc. of ION GPS 2009,
[2] Neri, A., Capua, R., Salvatori, P., "High Integrity Two-tiers Augmentation Systems for Train Control Systems", ION Pacific PNT 2015, Honolulu, April 20-23 2015.

12/02/2016



The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

LDS Performance

RIM Fault mitigation effectiveness

Fault detection & exclusion OFF



Fault detection & exclusion ON





The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

LDS Protection Levels

• Healthy Satellites (Nominal operations) $P_{MI/SH}^{LDS} \cong erfc\left(\frac{PL}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{s/SH}}\right)$

 $PL \cong \sqrt{2} \ erfc^{-1} \left(P_{MI/SH}^{LDS} \right) \sigma_{s/SH}$

• One Faulty RIM, No Autonomous RAIM on Board

$$P_{MI/TAAN}^{LDS} \leq \underset{\mathbf{R}_{F_{RIM}}}{Max} \left\{ erfc\left(\frac{PL}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\sigma_{s_{RP/F}}^{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{F_{RIM}})}\right) D_{G\chi_{N_{z}}^{2}}\left[\gamma_{z_{n}^{i}};\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{z_{n}^{i}}(\mathbf{R}_{F_{RIM}})\right] \right\}$$



The High Integrity Augmentation Architecture

Integrity Check

For each RIM n of the 2nd tier:

a. Initialize the set $S_n^{H,SD}$ of healthy satellites to the set of visible satellites with elevation greater than the elevation mask.

b.Repeat

• for each satellite in $S_n^{H,SD}$ compute the quantity $y_n^{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathsf{i}^{\mathsf{d}}}}$

$$y_n^i = y_{cod,n}^i = \left[\zeta_n^i(k)\right]^T \zeta_n^i(k)$$

• Select the satellite with the largest y_n^i

$$\hat{i} = Arg \left\{ \underset{i \in S_n^{H,SD}}{Max} \left[y_n^i \right] \right\}$$

- If y_nⁱ exceeds a predefined threshold γ_{y_n}
 remove *i* from the healthy set S_n^{H,SD}
- and mark the satellite as *unreliable*. until $y_n^{\hat{i}} > \gamma_{y_n^{i}}$ and S_H is non empty.

